



AILA National Office
Suite 300
1331 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Tel: 202.507.7600
Fax: 202.783.7853

www.aila.org

February 3, 2012

Office of Public Engagement
United States Citizenship and Immigration Services
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20529

Via e-mail: scopsrfe@dhs.gov

**Re: AILA Comments on Draft RFE Template: I-129 L-1
Intracompany Transferees: L-1A Manager or Executive**

To Whom It May Concern:

The American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA) submits the following comments on the USCIS RFE Template “I-129 L-1 Intracompany Transferees: L-1A Manager or Executive.”

AILA is a voluntary bar association of more than 11,000 attorneys and law professors practicing, researching, and teaching in the field of immigration and nationality law. Our mission includes the advancement of the law pertaining to immigration and nationality and the facilitation of justice in the field. AILA members regularly advise and represent businesses, U.S. citizens, U.S. permanent residents, and foreign nationals regarding the application and interpretation of U.S. immigration laws. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this RFE template and believe that our members’ collective expertise provides experience that makes us qualified to offer views that will benefit the public and the government.

AILA recognizes the Service’s efforts in creating RFE templates and sharing them with stakeholders for comment. This cooperative effort serves the entire stakeholder community and will hopefully provide both greater consistency in adjudication by the Service and better prepared petitions from the public. In that spirit, we commend the Service for the draft template addressing L-1As for managers and executives. The language of the template is generally clear and precise and effectively advises the petitioner as to what evidence is being requested and why. We particularly appreciate the opening explanatory section and its emphasis on the fact that the suggested evidence is merely exemplary and not exhaustive or mandatory. We suggest that the following additional language, at the end of the introductory section, will add further clarity to the eligibility requirements:

You must demonstrate this eligibility by the preponderance of the evidence, that is, the evidence must demonstrate that it is more likely than not that the eligibility requirements are met.

Request for Translations

We request a change to the “Request for Translations” section given the fact that many corporate documents are voluminous, replete with boilerplate language, and only small portions are generally relevant to the immigration benefit requested. We suggest that the template state that so long as the complete foreign language document is submitted, it is acceptable to translate only those portions of the document that are being relied upon by the petitioner to prove eligibility. For example, a 40-page contract establishing a joint venture may have multiple pages related to choice of law clauses, provisions for breach and remedies, and confidentiality clauses that extend for pages. These provisions, in most cases, do not bear upon whether the requisite qualifying relationship exists, or who has control of the entity. It may also contain appendices setting forth payment schedules or intellectual property transfer conditions that also bear no relationship to what the petitioner is required to prove under the terms of the template. Allowing for partial translations decreases the burden on both the petitioner and the examiner and more closely focuses the evidence on the facts to be proven.

Proposed Managerial or Executive Position in the United States

The “Note” under Option 1, which applies to suggested evidence of employment in a managerial position, provides a definition of “professional.” This should be amended to clarify that the definition is not limited to the list of occupations provided.

Managerial, Executive, or Specialized Knowledge Position Abroad

The “Note” under Option 1, which applies to suggested evidence of employment abroad in a managerial position, provides a definition of “professional.” This should be amended to clarify that the definition is not limited to the list of occupations provided.

In addition, the following comments are in reference to the bullet requesting a letter from an authorized representative of the foreign entity describing the beneficiary’s specialized knowledge duties abroad. While the first four bullets describe the general requirements for demonstrating “specialized knowledge” as set forth under INA §214(c)(2)(B), 8 CFR §214.2(l)(1)(ii)(D), the 1994 Puleo memorandum, and the 2002 Ohata memorandum, the RFE template would better reflect applicable law if the last three bullets were prefaced with, “In addition, the letter should include the following information if applicable to the specialized knowledge character of the particular position.”

Conclusion

We fully understand that a template by its very nature cannot and should not attempt to list every item of potentially acceptable evidence, but we believe the above suggestions

L-1 RFE Template: L-1A Manager or Executive

February 3, 2012

Page 3

will assist stakeholders in better understanding the types of evidence that may be sufficient to prove eligibility for an L-1A executive/manager petition.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this RFE template look forward to a continuing dialogue with USCIS on issues concerning this important matter.

Sincerely,

THE AMERICAN IMMIGRATION LAWYERS ASSOCIATION