	Case 2:85-cv-04544-DMG-AGR Docu	iment 1254 #:46760	Filed 05/21/22	Page 1 of 9	Page ID	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS a CONSTITUTIONAL LAW Peter A. Schey (Cal. Bar No. 58232) Carlos Holguín (Cal. Bar No. 90754) 256 South Occidental Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90057 Telephone: (213) 388-8693 Facsimile: (213) 386-9484 Email:pschey@centerforhumanright crholguin@centerforhumanright <i>Listing continues on next page</i> <i>Attorneys for Plaintiffs</i>) +) ts.org				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15	WESTERN DIVISION					
16						
17	JENNY LISETTE FLORES., et al.	, Ca	se No. CV 85-4	544-DMG-A	GRx	
18	Plaintiffs,		INT MOTION			
19	V.		ELIMINARY A			
20 21	MERRICK B. GARLAND, Attorney General of the United States, <i>et al.</i> ,	ey OF	SETTLEMENT AND APPROVAL OF CLASS NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT			
22			[Doc. ## 572, 576]			
23	Defendants.	-	earing: To be set	-	+	
24			amg. 10 De se	i by the Cour	ι	
25		ГЦ	ON. DOLLY M	[GFF]		
26		[11				
27 28						
20		1				
		I				
	AILA Doc. No. 22053131. (Posted 5/31/22)					

	Case 2:85-cv-04544-DMG-AGR Document 1254 Filed 05/21/22 Page 2 of 9 Page ID #:46761							
1 2	Attorneys for Plaintiffs continued:							
3	USF SCHOOL OF LAW IMMIGRATION CLINIC							
4	Bill Ong Hing (Cal. Bar No. 61513)							
5	2130 Fulton Street San Francisco, CA 94117-1080							
6	Telephone: (415) 422-4475							
7	Email: bhing@usfca.edu							
8								
9	LA RAZA CENTRO LEGAL, INC.							
10	Stephen Rosenbaum (Cal. Bar No. 98634)							
11	474 Valencia Street, #295 San Francisco, CA 94103							
12	Telephone: (415) 575-3500							
13								
14	///							
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25 26								
26								
27 28								
20	2							
	AILA Doc. No. 22053131. (Posted 5/31/22)							

On June 26, 2019, Plaintiffs filed an *Ex Parte* Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction and Contempt Order Should Not Issue ("Plaintiffs' TRO"). [Doc. # 572].

Plaintiffs' TRO primarily sought the following relief: (1) an immediate inspection of all [U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") facilities in the El Paso and Rio Grande Valley Sectors] by a public health expert authorized to mandate a remediation plan that Defendants must follow to make these facilities safe and sanitary, (2) immediate access to [these facilities] by independent medical professionals appointed by Plaintiffs' class counsel or the Courtappointed [Monitor] who can assess the medical needs of the children and triage appropriately, . . . (3) deployment of an intensive case management team to focus on expediting the release of Category 1 and Category 2 children (as classified in [t]he Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act) to alleviate the backlog caused by the inadequate Office of Refugee [Resettlement] placement array, and (4) for an Order finding Defendants in contempt of Court, with a suitable and appropriate remedy to be determined by the Court.

In Chambers - Order Re Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order To Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction and Contempt Order Should Not Issue (June 28, 2019), at 1 ("June 28, 2019, Order"), citing Proposed Order at 4. [Doc. # 572-6]; [Doc. # 576.].

On June 28, 2019, this Court ordered the parties to engage in mediation discussions before Special Master/Independent Monitor Andrea Sheridan Ordin: [P]ursuant to Paragraph D.3 of the Appointment Order and in accordance with Defendants' request, the Court REFERS Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application to expedited mediation before the Monitor. In light of the evidence presented, the Court waives the time periods set forth in Section D.3. ... The parties shall participate in the mediation process in good faith. Pending the parties' mediation, the Court holds the Ex Parte Application in abeyance.

June 28, 2019, Order at 3.

Thereafter, the parties engaged in numerous meetings supervised by the Special Master/Independent Monitor and attended by Dr. Paul Wise, counsel representing Plaintiffs and Defendants, Defendants' operational personnel, and medical experts from both sides.

The parties have now reached a settlement agreement ("Agreement") to resolve Plaintiffs' TRO. *See* Exhibit 1 filed herewith.¹ The parties are submitting

¹ In the poster that is attached as Exhibit 1 to the parties' Agreement filed herewith, the parties agree that before posting, they will amend the text that now reads "visit family members in custody" to read "remain with or visit family members in custody."

this joint motion for preliminary approval of the Agreement, along with a class notice to inform *Flores* class members of the proposed Agreement. *See* Exhibit 2 filed herewith. This motion is submitted without a hearing date so the Court may, in its discretion, decide whether it wishes to conduct a hearing to address preliminary approval of the Agreement.

As detailed in the Agreement, the parties have agreed on the manner in which the Government will comply with the requirements of paragraphs 11 and 12A of the Flores Settlement Agreement ("FSA"), mandating that class members be housed in safe and sanitary conditions with particular regard for the vulnerability of minors, within the Rio Grande Valley and El Paso Sectors of the U.S. Border Patrol. The Agreement provides, in part, that the Government shall ensure that CBP facilities in the Rio Grande Valley and El Paso Sectors, provide class members access to toilets, sinks, showers, hygiene kits, drinking water, age-appropriate meals and snacks, medical evaluations and appropriate medical treatment, clothing and blankets, caregivers, adequate supervision to protect minors from others, adequate temperature control and ventilation, and provides that class members apprehended with adult family members (including non-parents or legal guardians) remain with or, at a minimum, have contact with their family members during their time in CBP custody.

5

Case 2:85-cv-04544-DMG-AGR Document 1254 Filed 05/21/22 Page 6 of 9 Page ID #:46765

The parties acknowledge that the Agreement does not include provisions relating to Plaintiffs' claim that "Defendants do not make and record efforts aimed at the prompt release of minors or their placement in licensed facilities set forth in Plaintiff's TRO application," and arguments set forth therein [Doc. # 572-1 at Paragraph H, pp. 12-13, 20.]. By this Agreement, Plaintiffs do not waive their right to bring these claims in a future action.

Consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), the parties submit the following joint proposal for providing notice of the Agreement to *Flores* class members:

The proposed Class Notice is attached as Exhibit 2. Within five (5) days of an Order from this Court approving the attached Notice, Plaintiffs shall provide to Defendants a copy of the approved Notice in English and Spanish. Within twenty (20) days of the date of the Order, Defendants shall post copies of the approved Notice in English and Spanish at all CBP facilities in the Rio Grande Valley and El Paso sectors of the U.S. Border Patrol. Defendants shall post the Notice in areas where class members and their accompanying adult relatives can see the Notice. Defendants shall notify Plaintiffs' counsel in writing when the Notices have been posted and of the facilities where they were posted and the locations where they were posted within each facility. The notice period shall be thirty (30) days in duration.

6

Fifty (50) days after the date of the Order, Plaintiffs' counsel shall file and serve any objections received, redacted as appropriate, or notify the Court that no objections have been received.

Sixty (60) days after the date of the Order, Plaintiffs and Defendants shall file a joint report regarding any objections received from class members during the period for the submission of objections. The parties' joint submission will include a proposed schedule for final approval of the Agreement as well as a proposed order approving the Agreement and dismissing with prejudice Plaintiffs' TRO [Doc. # 572], with the exception of Paragraph II.H in Plaintiffs' TRO application alleging that Defendants do not make and record efforts aimed at the prompt release of minors or their placement in licensed facilities. [Doc. # 572-1 at 12-13, 20.]

A proposed order is being filed herewith.

	Case 2:85-cv-04544-DMG-AGR	Document 1254 #:46767	Filed 05/21/22	Page 8 of 9	Page ID
1	Dated: May 20, 2022	Respectfully submitted,			
2 3	/s/ Peter Schey				
4	PETER SCHEY Center For Human Rights &				
5	Constitutional Law				
6 7	BILL ONG HING USF School Of Law Immigration				
8	Clinic				
9	STEPHEN ROSENBAUM				
10	La Raza Centro Legal, Inc. Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
11 12		/s/ Saral	h B. Fabian		
12	<u>/s/ Sarah B. Fabian</u> SARAH B. FABIAN				
14	Senior Litigation Counsel U.S. Department of Justice				
15	Office of Immigration Litigation				
16	Attorneys for Defendants				
17					
18					
19 20					
20					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
27 28					
20					
		8			

	Case 2:85-cv-04544-DMG-AGR Document 1254 Filed 05/21/22 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:46768						
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
2	CASE NO. CV 85-4544-DMG (AGRx)						
3							
4	I certify that on May 21, 2022, I served a copy of the foregoing pleading						
5	on all counsel of record by means of the District Court's CM/ECF electronic						
6	filing system.						
7	/s/ Peter Schey						
8	PETER SCHEY						
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18 19							
20							
20							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	9						
	AILA Doc. No. 22053131. (Posted 5/31/22)						