

Complaint Number: 536 Immigration Judge: Cassidy, William A. Complaint Date: 08/31/11

Current ACIJ Base City Status Final Action Final Action Date

Nadkarni, Deepali (b) CLOSED Oral counseling 01/05/12

A-Number(s)	Complaint Nature(s)	Complaint Source(s)
(b) (6)	Legal	BIA

Complaint Narrative: (b) (6) Apparently the IJ only issued a summary of an oral decision as well as indicated to the alien that he did not need to file an appeal.

	Complaint History
09/06/11	Complaint referred to ACIJ
09/06/11	ROP obtained from BIA
09/07/11	Database entry created
01/05/12	Oral counseling

Immigration Judge Complaint Intake Form

complaint source type

HQ Use Only: complaint #:_____ source: first / subsequent

Date Received at OCIJ: August 31, 2011

				BIA			Circuit		EOIR					
☐ respondent	's attorn	ey		respondent	i		OIL		OPR		OIG		l media	
☐ third party	(e.g., rei	lative, u	ninte	erested atto	mey, c	ourtr	oom observ	er, etc.)	•					
□ other:														
					comp	lain	t receipt	meth <u>o</u>	d					
□ letter	X	IJC me	emo	(BIA)		ema	il 🗆	phone	e (incl. voi	cemail)			in-person	
□ fax		unknov	wn			othe	r:							
(i.e., date on k				source	aninina			ompl	aint sou	rce cor	<u>itact</u>	info	rmation	
(i.e., date on k	mer, ua	te or app	рена	ie body s d	ecision	1)	name:							
	Aug	gust 31, 1	2011	l						-				
							address:				_			_
				urce deta										_
(i.e., DHS com		media o A-numbe		i, third part	y detai.	ls,	<u> </u>							
	-		,											
A /I N	(0)						email:							
A(b)	(6)						_1							
							phone:							
							fax:							
							[
							[
							[·				
		IJ na		. /			fax:			·	i gla.		ACIJ	
			me				fax:			·			ACIJ	
	W	IJ na illiam (me Cas	sidy			fax:	bas	e city		Dee	Nadk	ACIJ	
	W	IJ na illiam (me Cas				fax:	bas	e city	ate of	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ	
	W	IJ na illiam (me Cas	sidy			fax:	bas	e city	ate of	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ	
	W	IJ na illiam (me Cas	sidy			fax:	bas	e city	ate of	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ	
rom SLA Ar	releva A	IJ na illiam (ant A- (b) (Cas	sidy a ber(s)		a	(b) (Orde	bas 6) er date	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	
	releva A ny Mir	IJ na illiam (ant A-i (b) (nton: '	Cas nun 6)	sidy aber(s) parently th	e IJ or	a	(b) (Orde	bas 6) er date	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	
	releva A ny Mir	IJ na illiam (ant A-i (b) (nton: '	Cas nun 6)	sidy aber(s) parently th	e IJ or	a	(b) (Orde	bas 6) er date	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	
	releva A ny Mir	IJ na illiam (ant A-i (b) (nton: '	Cas nun 6)	sidy aber(s) parently th	e IJ or	a	(b) (Orde	bas 6) er date	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	
	releva A ny Mir	IJ na illiam (ant A-i (b) (nton: '	Cas nun 6)	sidy aber(s) parently th	e IJ or	a	(b) (Orde	bas 6) er date	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	
	releva A ny Mir	IJ na illiam (ant A-i (b) (nton: '	Cas nun 6)	sidy aber(s) parently th	e IJ or eal."	a.inly is	(b) (continued of the second o	bas	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	
ne alien that h	releva A ny Min e did no	IJ na illiam (ant A- (b) (anton: ' ot need	Cas nume 6)	sidy aber(s) parently the lile an appropriate the line and the line	e IJ or eal."	a.inly is	(b) (comp	bas 6) er date mmary	d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk ent well a	ACIJ carni	ed to
in-court cor	releva A ny Min e did no	IJ na illiam (ant A-i (b) (nton: 'ot need	Cas nume 6)	sidy nber(s) parently the sile an appearance of court cour	e IJ or eal."	a.inly is	(b) (continued of the second o	bas 6) er date mmary	e city d d April 2	ate of 25, 201	Dee incid	Nadk	ACIJ carni	

		4
date	action	initials
01.04.12	ACIJ reviewed ROP and discussed case with ACIJ Weil re. training.	10.00
01.05.12	ACIJ counseled IJ WAC about careful issuing summary orders (don't use if no oral decision). Discussed the sloppiness of dates on orders in this case. Also discussed the issue of interlocutory appealsome of WAC's comments could be seen as telling respondent did not need to appeal merits. WAC considered the comments and stated would be more careful in future.	DWW
01.05.12	Complaint concluded with oral counseling.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
01:03.12	Complaint concluded with oral counseining.	7)//

Memorandum



Subject		Date
Matter of (b) (6) August 29, 2011) (BIA;		August 31, 2011
То	From	
Brian O'Leary, Chief Immigration Judge MaryBeth Keller, Assistant Chief Immigration Judge	Dav	id L. Neal, Acting Chairman

Attached please find a copy of the Board's decision dated August 29, 2011, and relevant portions of the record in the above-referenced matter.

The Board asked me to bring this case to your attention.

Further, the Board anticipates returning the record of proceedings for this remanded case to the Immigration Court in two weeks. If you wish to review the record prior to its return to the Immigration Court, please contact Suzette Henderson.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Attachments

U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File:

A(b) (6)

Date:

In re: <mark>(b) (6</mark>

AUG 29 2011

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT:

Pro se

ON BEHALF OF DHS:

(b)(6) & (b)(7)(C) Assistant Chief Counsel

CHARGE:

Notice: Sec.

237(a)(2)(A)(iii), I&N Act [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(A)(iii)] -

Convicted of aggravated felony (as defined in section 101(a)(43)(F))

APPLICATION: Termination

The Immigration Judge, after having entered an order on March 22, 2011, denying the respondent's motion to terminate the removal proceedings, conducted a hearing on April 25, 2011. The transcript of that hearing reflects that, during the hearing, the respondent submitted evidence into the record (Tr. at 58). Following that hearing, in an order entered on April 25, 2011, the Immigration Judge ordered the respondent removed to Jamaica. While, in that order, it was indicated that an oral decision was entered on that date, the current record does not show that the Immigration Judge issued a final, written decision in this matter. Accordingly, the record will be returned to the Immigration Judge for the preparation of a full decision in this matter. See Matter of A-P-, 22 I&N Dec. 468 (BIA 1999). Upon preparation of the full decision, the Immigration Judge shall issue an order administratively returning the record to the Board. The Immigration Judge shall serve the administrative return order on the respondent and the Department of Homeland Security (the DHS). The Board will thereafter give the parties an opportunity to submit briefs in accordance with the regulations.

ORDER: The record is returned to the Immigration Court for further action as required above.

FOR THE BOARD

Cassilia

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF IMMIGATION REVIEW
IMMIGRATION/COURT

In the Matter of:

Case No.: A@(b) (6)

Respondent

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

VIDENCE THE HIMMONITION VOLUME	
This is a summary of the oral decision entered on April 25, 2011 This memorandum is solely for the convenience of the parties. If the proceedings should be appealed or reopened, the oral decision will become the official opinion in the case.	
The respondent was ordered removed from the United States to or in the alternative to or in the laternative to	ıe
or in the alternative to	
Respondent's application for voluntary departure was granted until upon posting a born	d
in the amount of \$ with an alternative order of removal to	•
Respondent's application for:	
Asylum was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other.	
Withholding of removal was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other.	
Respondent's application for [] withholding of removal [] deferral of removal under Article III of the Convention Against Torture was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other.	
[] A Waiver under section was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other.	
[] Cancellation of removal under section 240A(a)was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other.	
Respondent's application for:	
[] Cancellation under section 240A(b)(1) was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other. If granted,	it
was ordered that the respondent be issued all appropriate documents necessary to give effect to this order. [] Cancellation under section 240A(b)(2) was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other. If granted,	it .
was ordered that the respondent be issued all appropriate documents necessary to give effect to this order.	
[] Adjustment of Status under section was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other.	
If granted, it was ordered that respondent be issued all appropriate documents necessary to give effect to thi order.	S
[] Respondent's status was rescinded under section 246.	
[] Respondent is admitted to the United States as auntil	_•
As a condition of admission, respondent is to post a \$bond.	
[] Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous asylum application after proper notice.	
[] Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for failure to appear as ordered in the	
Immigration Judge's oral decision.	
Proceedings were terminated.	
Other: Other:	-
Date: April 25, 2011	•
WILLIAM A. CASSIDY	
Appeal: WAIVED / RÈSERVED A / I / B) Immigration Judge	
Appeal due by:	_
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY: MAIL (M) PERSONAL SERVICE (P) FAX (F)	•
TO: [] ALIEN (] ALIEN c/o Custodial Officer [] ALIEN'S ATT/REP [P] DHS	
DATE: 4/25/11 BY: COURT STAFF	
Attachments: [] EOIR-33 _{LA} [DEOIR-28 1508 Legal Services List 8 /21 / Other Q6	

- l copy of your criminal record. The Secretary of State will not
- 2 accept the document from (b) (6) County Court. When they write me,
- 3 they tell me that they need it from -- I got to go down to this
- 4 office and get this criminal record and send it to the Secretary
- 5 of State which is recognized by the Secretary of State. I don't
- 6 think the Appeal Court and the Secretary of State is making such
- 7 a big mistake.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. So the Secretary of State does not recognize that I had
- 10 a charge, like I said, it was thrown out. They don't recognize
- 11 it as a criminal record, as a criminal conviction, because if
- 12 they did, I would not have received my dealer license.
- Q. Okay. All right, sir. All right.
- 14 JUDGE TO (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C)
- Government, does that change your posture in any way?
- 16 (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C) TO JUDGE
- No, Your Honor.
- 18 JUDGE TO (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C)
- 19 Okay.
- 20 JUDGE TO (b) (6)
- Q. Here you go. Let me, as I promised, I will now give
- 22 this over to law clerk to have it sent out -- sir? (b) (6)
- 23 just want you to make sure you're listening to me. Sent out to
- 24 the -- sent up to the Board of Immigration Appeals at Falls
- 25 Church, Virginia, today. Okay? All right, sir.

^A(b)(6)

April 25, 2011

- 1 A. What you say you will do? Send?
- Q. I'm going to take this file.
- A. Right.
- Q. There's already a request from the Board of Immigration
- 5 Appeals for this file to review in light of your motion to
- 6 terminate that I denied.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Which you took an appeal of.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that's the same thing that you -- that's left for
- 11 you to appeal again but it's already with the Board. I don't see
- 12 that you need to file a secondary appeal. If you want to, you
- 13 may. I will send this up to the Board of Immigration Appeals
- 14 today so that they can begin their review of your challenge to my
- 15 decision.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Okay?
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And I'll make sure that goes up today so they can begin
- 20 that process as soon as possible.
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay?
- 23 A. Okay. Thank you.
- Q. All right. You're welcome, sir.
- 25 <u>HEARING CLOSED</u>