

ENFORCEMENT AND REMOVAL OPERATIONS

Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report For Recorded Declined Detainers Feb 11 – Feb 17, 2017

Summary

Pursuant to section 9(b) of Executive Order 13768, Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, and section H of the Secretary of Homeland Security's subsequent implementation memo, *Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest*, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) is making available for public release the non-Federal jurisdictions that do not honor detainers issued by ICE to that jurisdiction. For instances of declined detainers, the report also includes the associated individual's citizenship, detainer issued and declined dates, and notable criminal activity. ICE compiled this report based on jurisdictions with detainers that were recorded as declined between February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017, regardless of detainer issuance date.

It should be noted that law enforcement agencies (LEA) do not generally advise ICE of when a detainer is not honored, and therefore this report represents declined detainers that ICE personnel have become aware of during their enforcement activities.

This report is comprised of four sections:

- Section I: Highest Volume of Detainers Issued between February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017 to Jurisdictions which Restrict Cooperation with ICE
- Section II: Jurisdictions with Recorded Declined Detainers between February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017
- Section III: Table of Jurisdictions that have Enacted Policies which Restrict Cooperation with ICE
- Section IV: Report Scope and Data Fidelity

Section I: Highest Volume of Detainers Issued between February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017 to Jurisdictions¹ which Restrict Cooperation with ICE

During the week of February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017, ICE issued 2,868 detainers throughout the United States. The following table reflects the jurisdictions that do not comply with detainers on a routine basis, which had the highest volume of detainers issued during the reporting period (315 to these eleven detention locations during the reporting period). While these jurisdictions have a policy of non-cooperation or restricted cooperation, the outcome of these specific detainers is yet to be determined. Consistent with these jurisdictions' policies, ICE expects these detainers to reflect as declined in Section II of future weekly reports.

As further noted in Section IV, ICE field offices have been instructed to resume issuing detainers on all removable aliens in a LEA's custody regardless of prior non-cooperation. As a result, the number of issued detainers will increase over the next several reporting periods.

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Issued Detainers
LOS ANGELES COUNTY JAIL-TWIN TOWER	Los Angeles	California	78
MARICOPA COUNTY JAIL	Maricopa	Arizona	67
ORANGE COUNTY JAIL	Orange	California	35
LOS ANGELES CITY JAIL	Los Angeles	California	33
HENNEPIN COUNTY ADC	Hennepin	Minnesota	15
RIKERS ISLAND, QUEENS, NY	New York City	New York	15
SAN FRANCISCO CO JAIL	San Francisco	California	15
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY JAIL	Santa Barbara	California	15
BROOKLYN CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City	New York	14
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City	New York	14
SANTA CLARA CO MAIN JAIL	Santa Clara	California	14

¹ Jurisdictions include counties, boroughs, and parishes

Section II: Jurisdictions² with Recorded Declined Detainers February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017

The following table describes the individuals released by detention location that declined detainers during the period.³

In sum, these jurisdictions declined 65 detainers issued by ICE⁴.

The table also provides the associated country of citizenship, detainer issue and decline dates, and a notable criminal activity (charge or conviction) associated with the individual released from custody. The entries below are sorted alphabetically by state.⁵ Note that an alien may have been subject to detainers in multiple jurisdictions during the time period reported.

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
SANTA RITA JAIL- ALAMEDA COUNTY	Alameda*	California*	Mexico	2/14/2017	2/13/2017	Weapon Offense (Conviction)
CONTRA COSTA CO. JAIL	Contra Costa*	California*	Mexico	2/15/2017	11/14/2016	Domestic Violence (Charged)
MADERA CO DEPT OF CORRECT	Madera*	California*	Mexico	2/17/2017	10/14/2016	Drug Possession (Conviction)
ORANGE COUNTY JAIL	Orange*	California*	Mexico	2/14/2017	1/16/2017	Weapon Offense (Conviction)
RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF	Riverside*	California*	Mexico	2/15/2017	2/12/2015	Domestic Violence (Conviction)

² Jurisdictions include counties, boroughs, and parishes.

³ According to the reporting described in Section IV.

⁴ When a detainer is declined, the alien is generally released back into the community. However, there may be some instances, where despite a detainer being declined, ICE does take custody of the alien. This could occur, for example, when the alien is transferred to another jurisdiction that honors detainers, or when ICE officers make special efforts to take custody of the alien when the LEA does not meet ICE's reasonable expectations to prevent the release of a criminal alien back into the public.

⁵ An asterisk(*) after the jurisdiction name or state indicates that a policy is in place that limits or prohibits cooperation with ICE; policy details can be found in Section III.

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF	Riverside*	California*	Guatemala	2/15/2017	6/30/2015	Sex Assault (Charged)
RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF	Riverside*	California*	El Salvador	2/15/2017	1/30/2017	Domestic Violence (Charged)
SOUTHWEST DETENTION FACILITY	Riverside*	California*	Mexico	2/15/2017	12/7/2010	Cocaine - Possession (Charged)
SAN BERNARDINO SHERIFF - ADELANTO FACILITY	San Bernardino*	California*	Mexico	2/15/2017	10/16/2014	Marijuana - Possession (Charged)
SAN FRANCISCO CO JAIL	San Francisco*	California*	Cuba	2/16/2017	2/16/2017	Assault (Conviction)
SONOMA CO MAIN ADULT DET	Sonoma*	California*	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/16/2017	Assault (Conviction)
BROWARD COUNTY JAIL	Broward	Florida	Honduras	2/13/2017	2/13/2017	Battery (Charged)
MARION COUNTY JAIL	Marion	Florida	Mexico	2/15/2017	2/15/2017	Perjury (Conviction)
MARION COUNTY JAIL	Marion	Florida	Mexico	2/15/2017	2/15/2017	Traffic Offense (Charged)
ORANGE COUNTY JAIL	Orange	Florida	Honduras	2/17/2017	12/2/2016	Cocaine - Possession (Charged)
ORANGE COUNTY JAIL	Orange	Florida	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Traffic Offense (Charged)

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
SIOUX COUNTY JAIL	Sioux*	Iowa	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/16/2017	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Charged)
HENNEPIN COUNTY ADC	Hennepin*	Minnesota	Guatemala	2/17/2017	6/13/2016	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Charged)
YELLOW MEDICINE COUNTY JAIL	Yellow Medicine	Minnesota	Mexico	2/13/2017	2/10/2017	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Charged)
CAMDEN COUNTY JAIL	Camden*	New Jersey	Guatemala	2/14/2017	2/7/2017	Domestic Violence (Conviction)
CURRY COUNTY DET FAC	Curry*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/13/2017	9/22/2012	Marijuana - Sell (Conviction)
CURRY COUNTY DET FAC	Curry*	New Mexico	Honduras	2/13/2017	9/6/2016	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Conviction)
CURRY COUNTY DET FAC	Curry*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/13/2017	1/22/2014	Battery (Conviction)
LEA COUNTY CORR. FAC.	Lea*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/13/2017	9/4/2013	Aggravated Assault - Police Officer-Strongarm (Charged)
LEA COUNTY JAIL	Lea*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/16/2017	11/15/2016	Assault (Conviction)
LEA COUNTY JAIL	Lea*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/17/2017	4/20/2016	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Conviction)
SANDOVAL COUNTY DET CTR	Sandoval*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/13/2017	9/29/2012	Traffic Offense (Charged)
SANTA FE COUNTY JAIL	Santa Fe*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/15/2017	6/24/2016	Assault (Conviction)

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
SANTA FE COUNTY JAIL	Santa Fe*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/16/2017	11/14/2016	Contributing to Delinquency of Minor (Conviction)
SANTA FE COUNTY JAIL	Santa Fe*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/13/2017	2/12/2017	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Conviction)
SANTA FE COUNTY JAIL	Santa Fe*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/14/2017	2/10/2017	Dangerous Drugs (Charged)
SANTA FE COUNTY JAIL	Santa Fe*	New Mexico	Mexico	2/14/2017	4/11/2014	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Charged)
BROOKLYN CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Ussr	2/15/2017	2/15/2017	Sex Assault - Carnal Abuse (Conviction)
BROOKLYN CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Burkina Faso	2/14/2017	2/14/2017	Robbery (Charged)
MANHATTAN CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Dominican Republic	2/15/2017	1/30/2017	Heroin - Sell (Conviction)
MANHATTAN CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	China, Peoples Republic of	2/12/2017	2/8/2017	Sex Assault - Carnal Abuse (Charged)
MANHATTAN CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Nigeria	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Larceny (Charged)
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Mexico	2/14/2017	2/14/2017	Assault (Charged)
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Dominican Republic	2/13/2017	2/8/2017	Intimidation (Charged)

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	China, Peoples Republic of	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Dangerous Drugs (Charged)
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Ecuador	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Larceny (Charged)
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	China, Peoples Republic of	2/13/2017	2/8/2017	Fraud (Charged)
QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING	New York City*	New York	Philippines	2/13/2017	2/13/2017	Violation of a Court Order (Charged)
RIKERS ISLAND, QUEENS, NY	New York City*	New York	El Salvador	2/16/2017	5/3/2016	Possession Of Weapon (Conviction)
RIKERS ISLAND, QUEENS, NY	New York City*	New York	Bangladesh	2/15/2017	2/3/2017	Larceny (Conviction)
RIKERS ISLAND, QUEENS, NY	New York City*	New York	India	2/17/2017	2/14/2017	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Charged)
FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL	Franklin	Ohio	Egypt	2/11/2017	2/7/2017	Domestic Violence (Conviction)
FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL	Franklin	Ohio	Mexico	2/16/2017	10/7/2016	Driving Under Influence Liquor (Conviction)
FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL	Franklin	Ohio	Mexico	2/14/2017	2/8/2017	Assault (Charged)
FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL	Franklin	Ohio	Mexico	2/14/2017	2/8/2017	Domestic Violence (Charged)
MULTNOMAH COUNTY JAIL	Multnomah*	Oregon	Mexico	2/15/2017	3/21/2016	Sex Assault (Conviction)

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
CURRAN FROMHOLD CORR.FAC.	Philadelphia*	Pennsylvania	Mexico	2/11/2017	2/10/2017	Threat Terroristic State Offenses (Charged)
CURRAN FROMHOLD CORR.FAC.	Philadelphia*	Pennsylvania	Mexico	2/16/2017	2/6/2017	Larceny (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/16/2017	2/16/2017	Drug Possession (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Cuba	2/15/2017	2/15/2017	Assault (Conviction)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Burglary (Conviction)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Larceny (Conviction)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/13/2017	2/12/2017	Assault (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Guatemala	2/13/2017	2/13/2017	Assault (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/16/2017	2/16/2017	Domestic Violence (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Domestic Violence (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Domestic Violence (Charged)
TRAVIS COUNTY JAIL	Travis*	Texas	Mexico	2/17/2017	2/17/2017	Drug Possession (Charged)

Detention Location	Jurisdiction	State	Citizenship	Detainer Decline Date	Detainer Issue Date	Notable Criminal Activity
COWLITZ COUNTY JAIL	Cowlitz*	Washington	Mexico	2/13/2017	2/13/2017	Licensing Violation (Charged)
KING COUNTY ADULT JAIL	King*	Washington	Somalia	2/13/2017	10/20/2016	Cocaine - Sell (Conviction)

Section III: Table of Jurisdictions that have Enacted Policies which Restrict Cooperation with ICE

All jurisdictions and their corresponding detainer ordinances listed in this document are based upon public announcements, news report statements, and publicly disclosed policies. As such, there may be other non-cooperative jurisdictions not contained in this table if publicly available information does not exist. The entries below are sorted by the date a policy was enacted in the stated jurisdiction with the most recent date first.

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Baltimore City, Maryland (Baltimore)	March 2017	Baltimore Police Commissioner	• Public statement of noncooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Maricopa, Arizona (Phoenix)	February 2017	Sheriff's Statement	• Maricopa County will not honor requests to hold individual
Tulare, California (San Francisco)	February 2017	Sheriff's Statement	• Will notify ICE five days prior to the inmates release but will not hold
Ithaca, New York (Buffalo)	February 2017	Municipal Code Change	• Will only honor "warrantless detainer requests from the federal government under limited, specified circumstances" such as violent or serious crimes or terrorist activities
City of Seattle, Washington (Seattle)	February 2017	Resolution 31730	 City department directors are directed to comply with City's practice to defer to King County on all ICE detainer requests City of Seattle employees are directed, unless provided with a criminal warrant issued by a federal judge or magistrate, to not detain or arrest any individual based upon an administrative or civil immigration warrant for a violation of federal civil immigration law, including administrative and civil immigration warrants entered in the National Crime Information Center database
Travis County, Texas (San Antonio)	January 2017	Travis County Sheriff's Office Policy on Cooperation with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement	 Willing to accept requests accompanied by a court order Willing to accept requests when the subject of the detainer request is charged with or has been convicted of Capital Murder, First Degree Murder, Aggravated Sexual Assault, or Continuous Smuggling of Persons
Iowa City, Johnson County, Iowa (Saint Paul)	January 2017	Resolution Reaffirming the Public Safety Function of Local Law Enforcement	• Willing to only accept some notifications on detainers

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Boulder, Colorado (Denver)	January 2017	Boulder Municipal Code Title 12, Chapter 12-5	• Will not honor ICE detainers unless ICE has an arrest warrant for an individual
Montpelier, Vermont (Boston)	July 2016	Fair and Impartial Policing	• Will not hold individuals based solely on an ICE detainer
San Francisco, California (San Francisco)	July 2016	City Ordinance	• Detain an individual on the basis of a civil immigration detainer after that individual becomes eligible for release from custody.
New Orleans, Louisiana (New Orleans)	February 2016	New Orleans Police Department Manual	• Will not honor detainer without a judicial order or criminal warrant
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	January 2016	Mayoral Executive Order (Reverts back to April 2014 policy)	 Willing to only honor ICE detainers where the alien has a prior conviction for a first or second degree felony offense involving violence and the detainer is accompanied by a judicial arrest warrant The order also prohibits notice to ICE of pending release of subjects of interest to ICE unless the above criteria is met
Alachua, Florida (Miami)	September 2015	Sheriff's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a judicial order or criminal warrant
Amador County, California (San Francisco)	August 2015	Sheriff Statement	• Requires an accompanying court order to honor detainer.
San Mateo, California (San Francisco)	July 2015	Sheriff's Statement	• San Mateo County Sheriff's Office also does not honor Immigration and Customs Enforcement requests to detain those in the country illegally except in rare cases when the individual poses a significant threat to public safety
Humboldt, California (San Francisco)	May 2015	County Correctional Facility Procedure	• Under no circumstance shall an individual subject to deportation, absent a federal arrest warrant, be held past their release date or prevented from posting bail.
Fresno, California (San Francisco)	February 2015	Fresno County Sheriff [°] s Administrative Order	• ICE Detainers will continue to be accepted and added. However, the detainer will not serve as a hold, or delay an inmate's release beyond the scheduled date of release.
San Benito County, California (San Francisco)	February 2015	Sheriff's Statement	• Requires a judicial determination of probable cause or a warrant from a judicial officer.

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Arlington County, Virginia (Washington)	January 2015	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor an ICE detainer unless ICE first presents the sheriff's office with a judicially issued warrant authorizing detention
San Miguel, New Mexico (El Paso)	December 2014	San Miguel Detention Policies and Procedures	• Will only detain if reimbursed
Chesterfield County, Virginia (Washington)	November 2014	County Jail Policy	• The county will notify ICE when a detainee is going to be released, however, they will not hold an individual for any additional time.
New York City, New York (New York City)	November 2014	Local Law	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Erie County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	October 2014	County Jails' Policy	 Will not hold individuals based on the standard I-247 ICE detainer form Will hold individuals if an I-203 Order to Detain and an I-200 Warrant of Arrest form is submitted Will send a list of currently held individuals upon request Will allow ICE to inspect jail at any time and to ride-along with local law enforcement
Lycoming County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	October 2014	County Prison's Policy	 Will not hold individuals solely on ICE detainers Will notify ICE two hours prior of an inmate's release if ICE had issued a detainer
Montour County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	October 2014	County Prison's Policy	• Will not honor ICE detainers
Perry County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	October 2014	County Prison's Policy	 Will not honor ICE detainers without a warrant or court order Will not arrest, detain, or transport anyone solely based on an immigration detainer or an administrative warrant
New Mexico County Jails, New Mexico (El Paso)	October 2014	County Jails' Decisions	• All county jails in New Mexico will not honor ICE detainer
Montgomery County, Maryland (Baltimore)	October 2014	County Executive's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainers without adequate probable cause
Prince George's County, Maryland (Baltimore)	October 2014	County Executive's Decision	• Department of Corrections will not honor ICE detainers without a warrant signed by a judge that demonstrates probable cause

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Butler County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	September 2014	County Prison's Policy	 Will not hold individuals solely on an ICE detainer Staff will allow ICE officials to have access to inmates
Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	September 2014	County Prison's Policy	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a judicially authorized warrant or court order
Colorado County Jails, Colorado (Denver)	September 2014	County Jails' Decisions	• All county jails in Colorado will not honor ICE detainer without a Judicial Warrant
Sarpy County, Nebraska (St. Paul)	September 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a warrant
King County, Washington (Seattle)	September 2014	Ordinance 17886	• Will only honor civil immigration hold requests from United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement for individuals that are accompanied by a criminal warrant issued by a U.S. District Court judge or magistrate
Burlington County, New Jersey (Newark)	August 2014	Sheriff's Statement	• Will not honor ICE request to hold.
Delaware, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	August 2014	Correctional Facility's Policy	 Will not hold individuals solely based on an ICE detainer Arrangements may be made for "in person" review of the policy
Northampton, Massachusetts (Boston)	August 2014	Mayoral Executive Order	• Will not honor ICE detainer that is non-criminal and not subject to a judicially issued warrant
Boston, Massachusetts (Boston)	August 2014	Boston Trust Act	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a criminal warrant
Del-Norte County, California (San Francisco)	August 2014	Del Norte Sheriff's Office	• All inmates being detained at the Del Norte County Jail on an immigration detainer issued by United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (I.C.E.) must be accompanied by a judicial determination of probable cause or a judicial warrant.
El Dorado County, California (San Francisco)	August 2014	Sheriff's Office Procedural Order	• A person may not be held in custody solely on the basis of an immigration detainer if he or she is otherwise eligible for release from criminal custody unless a judicially approved warrant is issued.
Iowa County, Iowa (St. Paul)	August 2014	County Jail's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless a judge has approved the move with a probable cause warrant

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Benton County, Iowa (St. Paul)	August 2014	County Jail's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless a judge has approved the move with a probable cause warrant
Union County, New Jersey (Newark)	August 2014	County Counsel's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without warrant, court order, or other legally sufficient proof of probable cause from ICE
Archuleta, Colorado (Denver)	July 2014	Sheriff's Directive	• Will not hold beyond release date but will notify
Bernalillo, New Mexico (El Paso)	July 2014	Immigration Detainers and Warrants	• Will not detain any inmate and will not delay the otherwise authorized release of any inmate, as a result of detainer requests or administrative warrants received by ICE.
Butte County, California (San Francisco)	July 2014	Sheriff's Office Order	• Requires an accompanying arrest warrant to honor detainer.
Camden County, New Jersey (Newark)	July 2014	Sheriff's Statement	Requires court order or arrest warrant
Dona Ana County, New Mexico (El Paso)	July 2014	County Detention Center Statement	• Will not honor detainer
Los Angeles County, California (Los Angeles)	July 2014	Sheriff's Statement	• Requires a judicial determination of probable cause or a warrant from a judicial officer.
Placer, California (San Francisco)	July 2014	Placer County Sheriff Office Procedure Manual	• No longer accept detainers unless they are accompanied by an arrest warrant signed by a judge.
Wayne County, New York (Buffalo)	July 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a judicial warrant signed by a Federal judge or magistrate
Rhode Island Department of Corrections, Rhode Island (Boston)	July 2014	Department of Corrections Policy from Governor	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a warrant

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Hall County, Nebraska (St. Paul)	July 2014	County Corrections Decision	• Hall County Corrections will not honor ICE detainer without a warrant
Middlesex County, New Jersey (Newark)	July 2014	County Decision	 Will not honor ICE detainer unless an individual: Is charged with a first- or second-degree crime; Is identified as a known gang member; or Has been subject to a final order of removal by ICE
Hennepin, Minnesota (Saint Paul)	July 2014	Sheriff Statement on U.S. Immigration and Customs Detainers	• Will not honor ICE detainer absent judicial authority
Imperial County, California (San Diego)	July 2014	Sheriff's Office Decision	• Requires an accompanying court order to honor detainer.
Rio Arriba, New Mexico (El Paso)	July 2014	County Jail Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Santa Fe, New Mexico (El Paso)	July 2014	County Jail Statement	• Will not honor ICE unless the individual is accused of a serious crime
Yolo, California (San Francisco)	July 2014	Sheriff Statement	• Requires a valid and enforceable warrant signed by a judicial officer.
Bradford County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	June 2014	County Correctional Facility's Policy	 Will not honor ICE detainer without paperwork that an individual has a criminal warrant or a criminal conviction Will not hold individuals solely for the detainer and will request further information should they receive a detainer
Butler County, Kansas (Chicago)	June 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a court order or warrant
Cambridge, Massachusetts (Boston)	June 2014	City Council Resolution	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless in cases where immigration agents have a criminal warrant or Cambridge officials have a legitimate law enforcement purpose not related to immigration

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
East Haven, Connecticut (Boston)	June 2014	East Haven Police Department Policies and Procedures No. 428.2	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Finney County, Kansas (Chicago)	June 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without probable cause or a warrant
Harvey County, Kansas (Chicago)	June 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a court order or warrant
Kings County, California (San Francisco)	June 2014	Sheriff's Office	• It is the policy of the Kings County Sheriff's Office to refrain from honoring detention requests from ICE ("ICE Holds") unless the request is accompanied by a valid and enforceable warrant signed by a judicial officer.
Merced, California (San Francisco)	June 2014	Sheriff Statement	• The Sheriff's Office will no longer place Immigration Detainers (ICE Holds) on inmates in our custody, save for exceptional circumstances, and then only with the approval of the Sheriff or his command level staff and consistent with the law.
Mono County, California (San Francisco)	June 2014	Custody Services Manual	• The Department will not hold a person in custody beyond any applicable release date for the sole reason that ICE requested the Department to hold that person in custody.
Orange County, California (Los Angeles)	June 2014	Sheriff's Statement	• Requires a judicial determination of probable cause or a warrant from a judicial officer.
San Joaquin, California (San Francisco)	June 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• The San Joaquin County Jail will no longer honor immigration detainers from Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) placed by an Immigrations and Customs Agent. This does not apply to arrest warrants signed by a judge.
San Luis Obispo County, California (Los Angeles)	June 2014	Sheriff's Statement	• Sheriff's Office will not detain the inmate on the basis of an Immigration Detainer past his or her scheduled release date.
Sedgwick County, Kansas (Chicago)	June 2014	County Sheriff's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a court order or warrant
Shawnee County, Kansas (Chicago)	June 2014	Sheriff's Directive	• Will not honor detainers without additional probable cause

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Sioux County, Iowa (St. Paul)	June 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless a judge has approved the move with a probable cause warrant
Alameda County, California (San Francisco)	May 2014	Sheriff's Decision	• No longer accept detainers unless they are accompanied by an arrest warrant signed by a judge.
Aurora Detention Center, Aurora Colorado (Denver)	May 2014	Detention Center Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Chester County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	May 2014	County Prison's Policy	 Will not detain individuals solely based on an ICE detainer Will allow ICE agents access to daily population reports and notify ICE of pending release from custody
Clallam County, Washington (Seattle)	May 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Contra Costa County, California (San Francisco)	May 2014	Sheriff's Decision	• Requires an accompanying arrest warrant to honor detainer.
Delta County, Colorado (Denver)	May 2014	Sheriff's Decision	• Will notify five days prior to release but will not honor detainer
Inyo County, California (San Francisco)	May 2014	Sheriff's Decision	• Requires an accompanying arrest warrant to honor detainer
Jefferson County, Washington (Seattle)	May 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	May 2014	Board of Commissioners Resolution2014-36	• Will not honor ICE detainer without a judicially issued detainer, warrant, or order
Mendocino County, California (San Francisco)	May 2014	Mendocino County Sheriff's Policy and Procedure Manual	• Requires a valid and enforceable warrant.
San Bernardino, California (Los Angeles)	May 2014	Sheriff's Statement	• Detainers must be accompanied by a signed court order.

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
San Juan County, Washington (Seattle)	May 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Santa Barbara County, California (Los Angeles)	May 2014	Sheriff's Statement	• Requires a judicial determination of probable cause or a warrant from a judicial officer.
Skagit County, Washington (Seattle)	May 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Somerville, Massachusetts (Boston)	May 2014	Mayoral Executive Order and Board of Alderman Ordinance	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless ICE provides criminal warrant or if there is a legitimate law enforcement purpose beyond immigration status for keeping a suspect in custody after bail is posted or a judge releases the individual
Sutter County, California (San Francisco)	May 2014	Sutter County Jail Policy	 Will continue to notify ICE when we have a possible immigration violation Will not hold someone past the time their local charges would otherwise cause them to be released.
Whatcom County, Washington (Seattle)	May 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	 Will not honor ICE detainer Will provide ICE access to detainees for investigative purposes and notify ICE of pending releases
Baker County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Bucks County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	April 2014	County Department of Corrections Policy	• Will not hold solely on an ICE detainer, but will notify ICE via email of a pending release from custody
Clackamas County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless there is probable cause for such detention
Clark County, Washington (Seattle)	April 2014	Chief Jail Deputy's Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer unless ICE provides an affidavit of probable cause
Clatsop County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Coos County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Cowlitz County, Washington (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Deschutes County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Douglas County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Grant County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Jackson County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Jefferson County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Josephine County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Lincoln County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Malheur County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Marion County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Montgomery County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	April 2014	County Correctional Facility's Policy	 Will not honor ICE detainer Will not accept anyone brought to it solely on an ICE detainer Has daily contact with ICE
Multnomah County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Polk County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Springfield Police Department, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	Department Policy	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Snohomish County, Washington (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Thurston County, Washington (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Tillamook County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Union County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Walla Walla County, Washington	April 2014	Special Order 2014- 002	• Will not hold individuals on the authority of an ICE detainer
Wallowa County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Washington County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant Sheriff's office will now only send a daily roster of foreign-born individuals in county custody instead of notifying ICE of each person individually
Yamhill County, Oregon (Seattle)	April 2014	County Sheriff's Office Decision	• Will not honor ICE detainer without court order or warrant
Napa, California (San Francisco)	February 2014	Napa County Sheriff's Office	• A deputy should consider the seriousness of the offense, community safety, potential burden on ICE, and the impact on the immigrant community when determining whether or not to notify ICE.
Yuba, California (San Francisco)	January 2014	Yuba County Jail Manual	• The Yuba County Sheriff's Department will no longer accept ICE detainers for foreign born arrestees.

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
California	January 2014	Trust Act	 On January 1, 2014, California's AB 4, also known as the Trust Act, went into effect, specifying that local law enforcement agencies need only honor ICE detainers for aliens who meet at least one of the following criteria: Specific serious or violent felony conviction; Felony conviction punishable by state imprisonment; Specific sexual crimes conviction; Misdemeanor conviction within the past five years for a crime that is punishable as either a misdemeanor or a felony, or conviction at any time of a felony for specified offenses Federal conviction that meets the definition of aggravated felony; Outstanding federal felony arrest warrant as identified by ICE; Arrested and taken before a magistrate on a serious or felony charge other than domestic violence and warranting a probable cause finding; or Currently registered in the California Sex and Arson Registry.
Connecticut	January 2014	Trust Act	 Law enforcement agencies will honor ICE detainers if an individual is: Convicted of a felony, Subject to pending criminal charges, has an outstanding arrest warrant, Identified gang member, among other criteria Additionally, Local law enforcement agencies will not enforce ICE Detainer Requests solely on the basis of a final order of removal, unless accompanied by a judicial warrant, or past criminal conviction, unless the conviction is for a violent felony.
Newark, New Jersey (Newark)	July 2013	Newark Police Department General Order 13-04	• Will not honor ICE detainer
Washington, DC (Washington)	July 2012	Immigration Detainer Compliance Amendment Act of 2011	 Requires written agreement from ICE reimbursing costs in honoring detainer; and that the alien is: Convicted of a dangerous crime; Convicted of a crime of violence within the last 10 years; Convicted of a homicide; or Released in the past five years for these crimes
Chicago, Illinois (Chicago)	July 2012	Municipal Code of Chicago Chapter 2- 173-005 and 2-173-042	 Has an outstanding criminal warrant; Convicted of a felony; Is a defendant in a criminal case where a judgment has not been entered and a felony charge is pending; or Identified as known gang member

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Milwaukee, Wisconsin (Chicago)	June 2012	Resolution 12-135	 Convicted of at least one felony or two non-traffic misdemeanor offenses; Convicted or charged with any domestic violence offense or any violation of a protective order; Convicted or charged with intoxicated use of a vehicle; Is a defendant in a pending criminal case; Has an outstanding criminal warrant; Identified as known gang member; or Is a possible match on the US terrorist watch list
Amherst, Massachusetts (Boston)	May 2012	Bylaw Regarding Sharing of Information with Federal Agencies	• To the extent permissible by law, will not honor immigration detainer requests
Santa Cruz County, California (San Francisco)	May 2012	Board of Supervisors Resolution	• Will not honor detainer unless individual convicted of serious or violent felony
Providence, Rhode Island (Boston)	March 2011	Resolution of the City Council	• The State of Rhode Island does not honor ICE detainers
Santa Clara County, California (San Francisco)	October 2011	County Resolution	• Will hold an additional 24 hour period after they would have otherwise be released as long as: (1) all costs incurred are reimbursed by ICE, (2) the individual is convicted of a serious or violent felony for which they are currently in custody, (3) the individual has been convicted of a serious felony in the past 10 years of the request or has been has been released after serving a sentence for a serious or violent felony within 5 years of the request, whichever is later.
Cook County, Illinois (Chicago)	September 2011	Ordinance 11-0-73; Chapter 46 Law Enforcement, Section 46-37 of Cook County Code	• Requires written agreement from ICE reimbursing costs in honoring detainer
Taos County, New Mexico (El Paso)	January 2011	Taos County Adult Detention Center Policies and Procedures	• Will only hold aliens with at least one felony or two or more misdemeanors
Lebanon County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	August 2008	County Correctional Facility's Policy	 Will not hold individuals solely on ICE detainers Will send weekly reports to ICE about newly incarcerated individuals, and allows ICE to access the facility and records

Jurisdiction (AOR)	Date Enacted	Policy	Criteria for Honoring Detainer
Hartford, Connecticut (Boston)	August 2008	Article XXI - City Services Relating To Immigration Status (Ord. No. 20-08, 8-11- 08)	• Will not arrest or detain a person based solely on their immigration status unless there is a criminal warrant
Clarion County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	September 1997	County Corrections Policy	• Will not hold individuals solely based on ICE detainer; requires legal and authorized commitment paperwork
Calaveras County, California (San Francisco)	Undated	Jail Policy	• Must be accompanied by federal warrant or judicial determination of probable cause to comply with hold but will notify ICE of release date.
Lake, California (San Francisco)	Undated	County Sheriff Decision	• Will not hold inmates in regards to their immigration status.
Glenn County, California (San Francisco)	Undated	Sheriff's Decision	• Requires an accompanying court order to honor detainer.
Mariposa, California (San Francisco)	Undated	Sheriff's Statement	• Mariposa County Sheriff's Office Custody Division does not hold or detain persons based exclusively upon a "detainer" or "hold request" issued by the U.S. Department of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).
Pike County, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	Undated	Correctional Facility's Standard Operating Procedures	 ICE detainers are not acceptable commitment paperwork nor can be used as a valid hold Has a contract with ICE to hold those who are in federal custody pending immigration proceedings
Sacramento County, California (San Francisco)	Undated	Sheriff's Statement	• Will not hold individuals past release date

Section IV: Report Scope and Data Fidelity

Operational/Policy

- 1. Some field offices ceased issuing detainers to known uncooperative jurisdictions. ICE field offices have been recently instructed to issue detainers on all removable aliens in a LEA's custody. As a result, the number of issued detainers is expected to increase over the next several reporting periods.
- 2. Currently, uncooperative jurisdictions prevent ICE from knowing when an alien has been released from custody. Consequently, active detainers exist for aliens who are no longer incarcerated. The field offices are in the process of reviewing outstanding active ICE detainers, potentially affecting the list of jurisdictions listed in future reporting periods.
- 3. ICE field offices are also being instructed to update the criminal history information contained within ICE's records at the time of detainer issuance, as ICE does not normally enter criminality until it assumes custody post-processing. Hence, the list of crimes reported for aliens subject to detainers that are subsequently declined may be temporarily under-reported until this new change improves data quality.
- 4. At present, ICE does not document, in a systematically reportable manner, the immigration status of an alien at time of detainer issuance. ICE sends detainers to law enforcement agencies, which requests aliens be turned over to ICE prior to release, if ICE possesses probable cause to believe that the alien is removable from the United States.

Statistical Reporting

- 5. ICE will update this report weekly, noting the time period for which it collected data. Data reflected will be 6 weeks past to ensure data integrity.
- 6. ICE compiled this report based on jurisdictions with detainers that were declined between February 11, 2017 and February 17, 2017, regardless of detainer issuance date. As such, the declined detainers may include a combination of I-247, I-247D, I-247N, and/or I-247X forms.
- 7. This report should not be considered an exclusive factor in determining a jurisdiction's level of cooperation with and support of ICE or the law enforcement community.
- 8. The I-247N form and some I-247X forms requested that the LEA provide notice to ICE as early as possible, or as early as practicable before the subject is released from LEA custody (at least 48 hours). This notification is intended to allow ICE time

to respond and take custody of the alien where resources may not be instantly available. This report may reflect instances in which the LEA may have technically provided notification to ICE in advance of an alien's release, but where the LEA did not provide sufficient advance notification for ICE to arrange the transfer of custody prior to release due to geographic limitations, response times, or other logistical reasons. In these instances ICE records the detainer as declined by the LEA.

9. This report does not, nor does it intend to create any rights, privileges, or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any party against the United States; its departments, agencies, or other entities; its officers or employees; contractors or any other person.